

The Pupil Premium: 2015-2016

Analysis & challenge tool for schools



Final Review: July 2016

Analysis & challenge toolkit for school leaders:

On the following pages are modified versions of the tables used by inspectors during the Pupil Premium survey.

I have used these, alongside our own school tracking formats, to inform discussions with both the SLT & Governing Body, & to help to shape future strategic planning for the use of the Pupil Premium Grant (PPG) at Braybrook Primary School.

Financial year	Amount of Pupil Premium funding
2014-2015	£131,200
2015-2016	£125,980
2016-2017	

		<u>2014-20</u>	<u>)15</u>		<u>2015-2</u>	<u>016</u>	
Percentage of FSM pupils:	38.8%	as in January	2014 census	37% a	as in January	2015 census	
Number of FSM pupils eligible for the Pupil Premium:	98	@£1300	=£127,400	94	@ £1320	= £124,080	
Number of looked after pupils eligible for the Pupil Premium:	2	@£1900	=£3,800	1	@ £1900	= £1,900	
Number of service chn eligible for the Pupil Premium:	0	@£300	=£0	0	@ £300	= £0	
Number of adopted chn eligible for the Pupil Premium:	0	@£1900	=£0	0	@ £1900	= £0	
Total			£131,200			£125,980	

Whole School Tracking 2015-2016:

Yr1 3 & 5 FSM vs Non 2015-16

٠	Yr 1	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Sor	Sum	Drogross	DIFE	ARE	Gap to ARE	٠		
	Numeracy	<u></u>	Dasenne	nut	301	<u>30m</u>	FIUGIESS	0111		Jap to Ait	Н		
	FSM		34.8	36.7		40.0	5.2		41.0	-1	Н	1b	37
	Non-FSM	-0.3	35.1	37.2		40.0	5.3	-0.4		-1	\vdash		
	NON-FSIVI		35.1	37.2		40.4	5.5		(1s)	-0.6	\vdash	1w	39
		DUCC			~	~	-	DIFF			\vdash	1s	41
	<u>Yr 1</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF				2b	43
	Reading											2w	45
Ι.	FSM	-0.9	34.3	37.2		39.8	6.4	-0.5	41.0			2s	47
Ι.	Non-FSM		35.2	37.5		40.3	5.1	_	(1s)	-0.7		Зb	49
												3w	51
	<u>Yr 1</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF				3s	53
	Writing											4b	55
	FSM	-0.5	34.3	36.7		40.0	5.7	-0.4	41.0	-1		4w	57
	Non-FSM	-0.5	34.8	37.1		40.4	5.6	-0.4	(1s)	-0.6		4s	59
												5b	61
												5w	63
	Yr 3	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF	ARE	Gap to ARE		5s	65
	Numeracy										Η	6b	67
	FSM		44.1	45.5	46.7	48.9	4.8		53.0	-4.1	H	6w	69
	Non-FSM	-0.8	44.9	46.9	47.9	50.1	5.2	-1.2	(3s)	-2.9	H	6s	71
	Non-Low		11.2	40.5	47.2	50.1	3.2		(35)	-2.5	Н	- ⁻ -	/1
	¥- 2	DIFF	0		-	c	0	DIFF	· ·		Н		
	<u>Yr 3</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF			Н		
	Reading		43.7	45.0		40.0				24	Н		
	FSM	-1.4	43.7	-	46.8		5.6	-0.1	53.0		Н		
Ι.	Non-FSM		45.1	46.4	47.5	50.0	4.9		(3s)	-3			
	<u>Yr 3</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF					
	Writing												
Ι.	FSM	0.0	45.5	46.0	47.5	49.9	4.4	0.4	53.0	-3.1			
	Non-FSM		45.5	46.2	47.4	49.5	4		(3s)	-3.5			
	<u>Yr 5</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	<u>Sum</u>	Progress	DIFF	ARE	Gap to ARE			
	Numeracy												
	FSM		49.6	53.4	54.5	58.4	8.8	-3.3	65.0	-6.6			
	Non-FSM	-5.8	55.4	58.2	59.6	61.7	6.3	-5.5	(5s)	-3.3	Π		
											Η		
	Yr 5	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF			H		
	Reading										Η		
	FSM		54.9	56.5	57.9	59.2	4.4		65.0	-5.7	Η		
	Non-FSM	-2.7	57.6	59.3	60.4	61.8	4.4	-2.5	(5s)	-3.2	Η		
	NON-POINT		57.0	37.5	00.4	01.0	4.4		(22)	-3.4	H		
					-	-		-			\vdash		
	<u>Yr 5</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF			\square		
	Writing			-							\square		
	FSM	-4.3	50.3		53.2		6.8	-3.8	65.0	-7.9	Ц		
	Non-FSM		54.6	55.5	57.6	60.9	6.3		(5s)	-4.1			

Yr2 4 & 6 FSM vs Non 2015-16

Yr 2 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF ARE Gap to ARE * FSM -0.9 40.7 42.2 43.6 45.2 4.5 -0.9 (2s) -0.9 1w 39 Non-FSM -0.9 41.6 43.1 44.4 46.1 4.5 -0.9 (2s) -0.9 1w 39 Mon-FSM -1.3 37.9 40.7 42.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 (2s) -1.1 3b 499 FSM -1.3 37.9 40.7 44.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 (2s) -1 3b 499 FSM -1.3 37.9 40.7 42.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 (2s) -1 3b 499 Writing - - - - - - - 3s 53 Writing -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 5.3 -1.2				-				_			-		_	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	•	<u>Yr 2</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	<u>Spr</u>	<u>Sum</u>	Progress	DIFF	ARE	Gap to ARE	•		
Non-FSM -0.9 41.6 43.1 44.4 46.1 4.5 -0.9 1.00 1.00 39 Yr 2 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 2.0 1.5 41.1 Yr 2 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 2.0 43.3 Reading -1.3 37.9 40.7 44.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 47.0 -1.6 2.5 47.7 Non-FSM -1.3 37.9 40.7 44.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 47.0 -1.6 2.5 47.7 Non-FSM -1.3 39.2 41.6 44.7 46.0 6.8 -0.6 (25) -1 3b 49.9 Writing -0.2 40.5 41.9 43.8 44.8 4.3 -1.2 (25) -1 45 59 Mon-FSM -0.2 40.5 41.9 93.8 44.8		Numeracy												
Non-FSM M 41.6 43.1 44.4 46.1 4.5 M (2s) -0.9 1w 39 Yr 2 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 41.0 41.0 41.0 41.0 Reading -0.13 37.9 40.7 44.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 47.0 -1.6 2s 47.0 Non-FSM -1.3 37.9 40.7 44.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 47.0 -1.6 2s 47.0 Non-FSM -1.3 37.9 40.7 44.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 47.0 -1.6 2s 47.0 Yr 2 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 47.0 -2.2 44.0 45.0 5.3 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 5.0 5.2 5.1 47.0 -2.2 44.0 5.0 5.2 3.2 5.		FSM	-0.9	40.7	42.2	43.6	45.2	4.5	-0.9	47.0	-1.8		1b	37
Yr 2. DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF Comparison Comparison <thcomparison< th=""> Comparison</thcomparison<>		Non-FSM	0.5	41.6	43.1	44.4	46.1	4.5		(2s)	-0.9		1 w	39
Reading Interminent <													1s	41
FSM -1.3 37.9 40.7 44.2 45.4 7.5 -0.6 47.0 -1.6 2s 47 Non-FSM -1.3 39.2 41.6 44.7 46.0 6.8 -0.6 (2s) -1 3b 49 Writing - - - - - 3w 51 Writing - - - - - 3w 53 Writing - - - - - 4b 55 FSM -0.2 40.5 41.9 43.8 44.8 4.3 -1.2 47.0 -2.2 4w 57 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 6.0 5.3 -1.2 47.0 -2.2 4w 57 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 5.3 -1.2 47.0 -2.2 4w 57 Numeracy - - - - 50		<u>Yr 2</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF				2b	43
Non-FSM -1.3 39.2 41.6 44.7 46.0 6.8 -0.6 (2s) -1 3b 49 Writing - - - - - 3w 51 Yr 2 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 3s 53 Writing -0.2 40.5 41.9 43.8 44.8 4.3 -1.2 47.0 -2.2 4w 57 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 66.0 5.3 -1.2 47.0 -2.2 4w 57 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 66.0 5.3 -1.2 (2s) -1 4s 59 Von-FSM -0.1 50 51.0 5.0 1.2 (2s) -1 4s 59 Numeracy - - - - - - 6b 67 FSM 3.1 52.8		Reading											2w	45
Non-FSM 39.2 41.6 44.7 46.0 6.8 and (2s) -1 3b 49 Yr 2 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 3w 51 Writing -0.2 40.5 41.9 43.8 44.8 4.3 -1.2 (2s) -1 46 55 FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 66.0 5.3 -1.2 (2s) -1 45 59 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 66.0 5.3 -1.2 (2s) -1 45 59 Vr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF ARE Gap to ARE 5s 65 Numeracy		FSM	1 2	37.9	40.7	44.2	45.4	7.5	0.6	47.0	-1.6		2s	47
Yr 2. Writing DIFF Baseline Aut Spr. Sum Progress DIFF 3s 53 FSM -0.2 40.5 41.9 43.8 44.8 4.3 -1.2 47.0 -2.2 4w 57 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 46.0 5.3 -1.2 (2s) -1 4s 59 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 46.0 5.3 -1.2 (2s) -1 4s 59 Mumeracy - - - - - - 5b 61 Non-FSM 3.1 52.8 54.0 55.6 58.0 5.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 67 Non-FSM 3.1 52.8 54.0 55.6 58.0 5.2 3.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 65 71 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress		Non-FSM	-1.5	39.2	41.6	44.7	46.0	6.8	-0.6	(2s)	-1		ЗЬ	49
Writing Image: Constraint of the second													Зw	51
FSM -0.2 40.5 41.9 43.8 44.8 4.3 -1.2 47.0 -2.2 4w 57 Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 66.0 5.3 -1.2 (2s) -1 4s 59 Image: Sign of the system		<u>Yr 2</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF				3s	53
Non-FSM -0.2 40.7 42.2 44.6 66.0 5.3 1.2 (2s) -1 4s 59 61 Non-FSM 0 0 0 0 0 5b 61 5w 63 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF ARE Gap to ARE 5s 65 Numeracy 0 0 51.0 52.2 3.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 Non-FSM 3.1 52.8 54.0 55.6 58.0 5.2 3.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 Non-FSM 3.1 49.7 50.9 51.9 54.8 5.1 3.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF Aut -1 6w 69 -1 6w 69 -1 6w 69 -1 6w -1		Writing											4b	55
Non-FSM 40.7 42.2 44.6 46.0 5.3 (2s) -1 4s 59 Image: Constraint of the stress of the		FSM	0.2	40.5	41.9	43.8	44.8	4.3		47.0	-2.2		4w	57
Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF ARE Gap to ARE 5s 65 Numeracy		Non-FSM	-0.2	40.7	42.2	44.6	46.0	5.3	-1.2	(2s)	-1		4s	59
Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF ARE Gap to ARE 5s 65 Numeracy - - - - - 6b 67 6b 67 FSM 3.1 52.8 54.0 55.6 58.0 5.2 3.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 67 65 71 Non-FSM 3.1 49.7 50.9 51.9 54.8 5.1 3.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 67 65 71 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF -4.2 6s 71 -4.2 6s 71 -4.2 6s 71 -4.2 6s 71 -4.2 -4.2 50.0 51.4 55.0 6.2 0 69.0 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4<													5b	61
Numeracy Image: Constraint of the system of th													5w	63
FSM Non-FSM 3.1 52.8 54.0 55.6 58.0 5.2 3.2 59.0 -1 6w 69 69 71 Yr.4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 6w 69 69 71 Yr.4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 60 69 69 71 Yr.4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF 60 69 6		Yr 4	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF	ARE	Gap to ARE		5s	65
Non-FSM 3.1 49.7 50.9 51.9 54.8 5.1 3.2 (4s) -4.2 6s 71 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF FSM -1.2 48.8 50.5 51.4 55.0 6.2 0 59.0 -4 -4 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF -4 -4 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF Writing		Numeracy											6b	67
Non-FSM DIFF Baseline Aut Spr. Sum Progress DIFF Yr.4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr. Sum Progress DIFF FSM -1.2 48.8 50.5 51.4 55.0 6.2 0 59.0 -4 1 Yr.4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr. Sum Progress 0 59.0 -4 1 Writing		FSM		52.8	54.0	55.6	58.0	5.2	2.2	59.0	-1		6w	69
Reading Image: Constraint of the second		Non-FSM	3.1	49.7	50.9	51.9	54.8	5.1	3.2	(4s)	-4.2		6s	71
Reading Image: Constraint of the second														
FSM -1.2 48.8 50.5 51.4 55.0 6.2 0 59.0 -4 0 Non-FSM -1.2 50.0 51.7 53.0 55.0 5 -4 -4 -4 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF Writing		<u>Yr 4</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF					
Non-FSM -1.2 50.0 51.7 53.0 55.0 5 0 (4s) -4 Yr 4. DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF Writing		Reading												
Non-FSM 50.0 51.7 53.0 55.0 5 (4s) -4 Yr 4 DIFF Baseline Aut Spr Sum Progress DIFF Writing		FSM	1.2	48.8	50.5	51.4	55.0	6.2		59.0	-4			
Writing 45.5 46.5 47.8 51.4 5.9 1.6 59.0 -7.6		Non-FSM	-1.2	50.0	51.7	53.0	55.0	5		(4s)	-4			
Writing 45.5 46.5 47.8 51.4 5.9 1.6 59.0 -7.6														
FSM _2 8 45.5 46.5 47.8 51.4 5.9 _16 59.0 -7.6		<u>Yr 4</u>	DIFF	Baseline	Aut	Spr	Sum	Progress	DIFF					
-28 -16 -16		Writing												
Non-FSM -2.8 48.3 48.9 50.7 53.0 4.7 -1.6 (4s) -6		FSM	2.0	45.5	46.5	47.8	51.4	5.9		59.0	-7.6			
		Non-FSM	-2.8	48.3	48.9	50.7	53.0	4.7	-1.6	(4s)	-6			

Planning & evaluation outline 2015-2016:

<u>Pupil Premium used</u> <u>to:</u>	Amount allocated to intervention / action (£)	<u>Is this a</u> <u>new</u> <u>or</u> <u>continued</u> <u>activity?</u>	Brief summary of the intervention or action, including details of year groups & pupils involved, & the timescale:	Specific intended outcomes: How will this intervention or action improve achievement for pupils eligible for the Pupil Premium? What will it achieve if successful?	How will this activity be monitored, when & by whom? How will success be evidenced?	Actual impact: R.A.G What did the action or activity actually achieve? If you plan to repeat this activity, what would you change to improve it next time?
Forge positive home/school links with specifically targeted & potentially vulnerable families	9 x £159 (supply release) = £1431 Termly x3 Terms = £4,293	Contd.	'Achievement for All' (AfA) 1:1 structured conversations termly, targeting 3x FSM chn per class across the school	Improved relationships bet. home/school Improved understanding of the child's home circumstances Shared & agreed targets to better support the good progress of each child	Termly 1:1 conversations timetabled by Inc. Ldr (Nov '15/ Feb '16/ June '16) AfA passports document discussions had & targets agreed Inc. Ldr to report to Pupil Achievement Committee Summer Term 2016, to review progress and impact Chn make at least good progress in R/W/M by July 2016	Vulnerable learners identified through Autumn Term PPMs. (3x per class) AfA conversations undertaken termly with markedly improved engagement as compared to 2014-2015. Personal invites via phone and follow-up letter secured 100% attendance and engagement from targeted families. Personalised targets set, for home and school to address collaboratively, as evidenced in AfA passports. Individuals' passports' evidence impact. Inc. Leader to report impact to Pupil Achievement Committee (Aut '16)

Promote good attendance, to exceed the national expectation & raise the school's % to 97% by July 2016	<u>£1,200</u>	Contd.	Half-termly whole school draws for 100% attendance End of year whole school draw for 100% attendance (<i>Prizes to promote quality</i> <i>family time or to support</i> <i>educational achievement</i>) Award and treat day for the best attending class during 2015-2016	Raise the profile of good attendance, which supports good progress & improves chn's chances for the future	Monthly, half termly & end of year attendance returns evidence improved whole school attendance %s 97% by July 2016 Reduction in SAMs FSM chn make good progress, as compared to their non-FSM peers <u>HT to report to Full Governing Body at EVERY meeting</u> <u>Chair of Govs to write to parents of 100%ers at end of year, July 2016</u>	Attendance in July 2016 stands at 96.6% (as compared to <u>96.3% in</u> <u>July 2015</u> and <u>96% in July</u> <u>2014</u>) This represents a good improvement over time. Whilst the attendance of FSMs is still below that of non-FSM pupils, this has improved since July 2013, rising from 92.4% to 95.8%, which is now above national expectations. An end of year scrutiny of attendance has identified improvements in 10 of the 12 who had pre-SAMs in the Spring. 2 chn living out of area have now applied to their nearest primary school and await places. Monitoring ongoing in 2016-2017.
	Breakfast & After-school Club – Daily (£9.50) <u>£1,805</u>	Contd.	Subsidy @ £9.50 per day (ongoing)	Ensure a positive & successful start to the day Support carers with daily routines	Child has a calm start to each day, arriving & settling to learning without issues Carers feel supported with daily routines	This was a resounding success – positive start and end to every day. Secured calm & positive approach to learning. Carer felt well supported.
Support & improve the opportunities available to CiC chn	Targeted support with Mathematics Specialist Teacher daily <u>(See below)</u>	Contd.	Targeted support with Mathematics Specialist Teacher daily	Child makes good or better progress by July 2016 Child is able to access	Half-termly data evidences good progress in maths and reading Data evidences that child has made good or better progress in Maths and reading by July 2016	Data for Summer Term evidences 11 steps progress since Sept baseline. (2b+ to 4b) (This is outstanding and accelerated progress.)
	Daily Reading 1:1 with TA, as outlined in PEP	Contd.	Daily Reading 1:1 with TA	learning with greater confidence and independence, being 'Secondary ready' by July 2016	HT to report on child's progress to Full Governing Body and Pupil Achievement Committee at EVERY meeting	Data for Summer Term evidences 11 steps progress since Sept baseline. (2w+ to 4w) (This is outstanding and accelerated progress.)

Provide specific & targeted support to enhance provision within EYFS	TA – 15 hrs per week <u>£3,500</u>	New Sept 2015	TA works to support specifically identified high needs chn, better enabling targeted teacher support of FSM new intake	Provision in EYFS is enhanced to better support chn in making the best possible start to their school career Identified chn will reach the expected GLD goals by July 2016	Data evidences that identified chn have reached the expected GLD goals by July 2016 Observations evidence that chn are confident & independent learners as they leave EYFS in July 2016	Targeted support has enhanced capacity, aiding high needs individuals & enabling effective support of FSM. GLD for the cohort was at 70% in July 2016 (a 3 yr upwards trend & above national). Whilst FSM was 33%, 2 of these 6 chn were high needs SEND. Data evidences good progress of FSM pupils.
Provide additional targeted support in Mathematics for Yr 5 & 6 Chn, to accelerate progress & ensure chn make at least good progress in 2015-2016	50% of (0.8) Tchr <u>£19,814</u>	Contd.	Tchr to use ECC specialist teaching to target low attaining pupils with FSM in daily mathematics lesson Ongoing Flexible groupings	Identified chn make at least good progress in mathematics Chn become more confident & independent as learners in maths	Data evidences that identified chn have made at least good progress by July 2016 Attainment gap closes, as compared to FSM National expectations & their non-FSM peers Observations evidence that chn are confident & independent learners in maths Governors to undertake <u>maths monitoring visit in</u> <u>Spring 2016, to observe</u> <u>sessions and evaluate</u> <u>impact with Maths</u> <u>Leader</u>	Of the 7 chn in Yr 5, all made better than expected progress of 6 or more steps, with 6 of the 7 making accelerated progress of 10 or more steps since Sept 2015. Of the 12 chn in Yr 6, 10 made better than expected progress of 6 or more steps, with 8 of the 12 making accelerated progress of 10 or more steps since Sept 2015. This has impacted positively, closing the gap between FSM & their non- FSM peers nationally.
Provide additional targeted support in Mathematics & Literacy for Yr 2 Chn, to accelerate progress & ensure chn meet National EYE in July 2016	50% of (0.6) Tchr <u>£8,783</u>	New From Spring 2 2016	KS1 specialist & LA Moderator to target low attaining pupils with FSM in daily mathematics and literacy lessons, enhancing provision and targeted support where progress was slow and attainment was low in Yr 1 (July 2015) Ongoing Flexible groupings	Chn benefit from additional support and intervention, which is specifically targeted Identified chn make at least good progress, meeting National EYE in July 2016 & ensuring contd. good outcomes at KS1 Chn become more confident & independent as learners	Data evidences that identified chn have made at least good progress by July 2016 meeting National EYE Attainment gap closes, as compared to FSM National expectations & their non-FSM peers Observations evidence that chn are confident & independent learners	Data for July 2016 evidences that of 15 chn: 13 have made expected progress or better in reading. 10 have made expected progress or better in writing. 11 have made expected progress or better in maths. In KS1 SATs: 73% in R / 60% in W / 60% in M

Provide targeted intervention programmes by 1:1 specialist teachers (inc. training) and ITAs	50% of (0.8) Tchr <u>£16,608</u> ECAR training <u>£1,000</u> 2x ITAs 'Intervention TAs' <u>£17,448</u>	Contd.	1:1 ECAR Tchr targets low attaining FSM chn for daily reading intervention. (KS1) ECAR Tchr / Dyslexic Specialist to work to support targeted Yr 5 FSM chn through daily small group tuition, from Sept 2015 ITAs target chn identified as underachieving by Inc. Ldr & SLT with apt Programmes of work / interventions	Identified chn make accelerated progress in reading and writing, which is at least good. Chn are more confident & independent when approaching or applying their learning	Data evidences that chn have made & sustained at least good progress by July 2016 Attainment gap closes, as compared to FSM National expectations SLT observations evidence that chn are confident & independent learners HT to report on impact of interventions to Pupil Achievement Committee at EVERY meeting Governors to undertake monitoring visit in Summer 2016, to observe sessions and discuss impact with Inclusion Leader	Data for July 2016 evidences good impact of Dyslexic Specialist Tchr on targeted Yr 5 FSM chn, through daily small group tuition. 7 of 9 chn have made expected or better progress in reading, of which 6 chn had made 8 or more steps of accelerated progress. 5 of 6 chn have made expected or better progress in writing, of which 4 chn had made accelerated progress. Provision maps evidence the good impact of additional interventions since Sept 2015, esp. Project X Code, BRP, ECaR, Tower Hamlets Maths & daily 'hot listing' of readers.
Inclusion Leader rigorously tracks, evaluates & supports the good progress of ALL groups, inc. FSM/Pupil Premium	TLR <u>£2,560</u>	Contd.	Inclusion Leader to: rigorously track & monitor the progress of FSM chn half termly identify & address poor progress or underachievement, identified through data analysis & PPMs evaluate the impact of identified interventions	Progress & attainment of FSM chn is rigorously tracked & quickly addressed, where concerns arise regarding slow progress or underachievement Chn benefit from additional support or intervention, which is quickly identified & specifically targeted	Data evidences that FSM chn across the school have made at least good progress by July 2016 Attainment gap closes, as compared to National FSM expectations & their non-FSM peers Minutes and actions arising from termly PPMs evidence quick identification & addressing of underachievement	Inc. Leader has supported in devising new systems for monitoring the progress and attainment of FSM & non-FSM chn. July 2016 data evidences good progress in closing the gap between FSM & non-FSM peers locally & nationally – see p7 Inc. Leader's attendance of PPMs has ensured rigour in identifying and addressing under-achievement and in evaluating the impact of interventions. Supported in the identification of targeted families to engage in AfA and to secure further good progress for potentially vulnerable groups.

Support the social/emotional dvt. & welfare of potentially vulnerable chn & their families	2x FLOs 'Family Liaison Officers' <u>£45,103</u>	Contd.	2x FLOs work with chn & families across the school through: Social nurture groups Behaviour support Counselling CiN/CP/MASG/CAF Webster Stratton Parenting classes Links with agencies PTFA – 'FOBSA' Family events	Chn feel safe, secure & valued & are able to better focus on their learning because of this Potentially vulnerable families are well supported & confident in approaching the school for help & advice	Parent surveys & pupil interviews evidence that chn & families feel safe, secure & well supported by the school FLOs' documentation evidences good ongoing support of potentially vulnerable families HT to report bi-annually on Parent Survey feedback to Full Governing Body Governors to undertake Pupil Survey re: behaviour and safety in Spring 2016	to reduce barriers to learning. Supported in addressing attendance and lateness issues, impacting positively on improvements. (See monitoring docs) Parent surveys in March 2016, with 105 returns, evidence that: 100% say their chd is safe & well looked after. 100% feel that the school deals with bullying effectively. 100% feel that the school responds well to their concerns. 100% would recommend the school to another parent. Case studies evidence the positive impact of the FLOs' work on a range of chn and families
needs, as they arise (Ongoing) inc. improving opportunities through subsidising trips, visits & other enrichment activities	Discretionary Up to£3866 (Outstanding PPG Funds Currently unallocated)	-	-	-	HT to report to the Full Governing Body re: use of outstanding PPG Funds on a termly basis, through the finance section of the HT Report to Governors	